## Case 2:21-cr-00086-TLN Document 16 Filed 07/28/21 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT Acting United States Attorney SAM STEFANKI Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
6 7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00086-TLN
11 12	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
13 14	PIOQUINTO FERNANDEZ-CARRANZA,  Defendant.	DATE: August 5, 2021 TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
15		
16	STIPULATION	
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant Pioquinto	
18	Fernandez-Carranza, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
19	1. By previous order, this matter wa	as set for status on August 5, 2021.
20	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until	
21	September 16, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between August 5, 2021, and September 16,	
22	2021, under Local Code T4.	
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, a	and request that the Court find the following:
24	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
25	includes over 400 pages of discovery, as well as audio files. All of this discovery has been either	
26	produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.	
27	///	
28	///	

## Case 2:21-cr-00086-TLN Document 16 Filed 07/28/21 Page 2 of 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review discovery, discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 5, 2021 to September 16, 2021, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 27, 2021 PHILLIP A. TALBERT Acting United States Attorney

/s/ SAM STEFANKI
SAM STEFANKI
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: July 27, 2021 /s/ HOOTAN BAIGHMOHAMMADI

HOOTAN BAIGHMOHAMMADI Counsel for Defendant PIOQUINTO FERNANDEZ-CARRANZA 1 2

## $\label{eq:FINDINGS} \textbf{FINDINGS AND ORDER}$ IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this $28^{th}$ day of July, 2021.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge